



## **AGENDA**

**Henderson City Council Special Called Meeting**

**Thursday 4 September 2014, 6:00 p.m.**

**R. G. (Chick) Young, Jr. Council Chambers, Municipal Building**

**134 Rose Avenue**

**Henderson, North Carolina**

---

### **Mayor and City Council Members**

Mayor James D. O'Geary, Presiding

Councilmember James C. Kearney, Jr.

Councilmember Sara M. Coffey

Councilmember Michael C. Incoe

Councilmember D. Michael Rainey

Councilmember Brenda Peace-Jenkins

Councilmember Garry D. Daeke

Councilmember Fearldine A. Simmons

Councilmember George M. Daye

### **City Officials**

A. Ray Griffin, Jr., City Manager

John H. Zollicoffer, Jr., City Attorney

Esther J. McCrackin, City Clerk

### **I. CALL TO ORDER**

### **II. ROLL CALL**

### **III. NEW BUSINESS**

- a)* Consideration of Approval of Resolution 14-63, Conceptual Logical Growth Corridor and Developing Plans for Water Main Extensions Inside This Corridor. (*CAF 14-82-A*)

- Resolution 14-63

### **IV. ADJOURNMENT**



## **City Council Minutes Special Called Meeting 4 September 2014**

---

### **PRESENT**

Mayor James D. O'Geary, Presiding; and Council Members James C. Kearney, Sr., Sara M. Coffey, Michael C. Inscoe, D. Michael Rainey, Brenda Peace-Jenkins, Fearldine A. Simmons and George M. Daye.

### **ABSENT**

Council Member Garry D. Daeke (*Clerk's Note: Due to a prior work engagement, Mr. Daeke was unable to be present*)

### **STAFF PRESENT**

City Manager Ray Griffin, City Attorney John H. Zollicoffer, Jr., City Clerk Esther J. McCrackin, Assistant City Manager Frank Frazier, and Engineering Director Clark Thomas.

### **CALL TO ORDER**

The 4 September 2014 Special Called Meeting of the Henderson City Council was called to order by Mayor James D. O'Geary at 6:00 p.m. in the R. G. "Chick" Young, Jr. Council Chambers, Municipal Building, 134 Rose Avenue, Henderson, NC.

### **ROLL CALL**

The City Clerk called the roll and advised Mayor O'Geary a quorum was present.

### **NEW BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Approval of Resolution 14-63, Conceptual Logical Growth Corridor and Developing Plans for Water Main Extensions Inside This Corridor.** (*Reference: CAF 14-82-A*)

City Manager Griffin said this was deferred from the last Council meeting so Council could have time to more fully consider this matter. He reminded everyone that Mr. Tommy Hester came

before Council, not on behalf of the County nor in any official capacity but with County staff to ask the City to give consideration to providing water service to the western area of the County near the Granville County line. The City Engineer and Assistant City Manager have since reviewed the area and worked on identifying the geographic area, how the area relates to the current City water grid, and where it is logically for the City to serve and where the County to provide water. There is a clause in the Water Sales Contract for Phase 2A and 2B that refers to who will provide service within 1,000 ft. of existing city water mains.

Mr. Griffin has spoken to the County Manager who met yesterday with its Water Committee. County Manager Ayscue said it is interested in further discussion so tonight's discussion should focus on an analysis of potential service, where City/County should serve and why, cost estimates and perhaps a generic discussion on whether the city has interest in extending service into the ETJ, among other issues.

Assistant City Manager Frazier and Engineering Director Thomas presented a more detailed map from what Mr. Hester presented and began by identifying the areas in question and provided some history on existing lines in the area. Council had numerous questions from this point on which included, but are not limited to:

- Cost/potential revenue
- Liabilities of investment
- Is the ETJ the responsibility of the City or County
- Could County sell lines to City since County is eligible for grants where the City is ineligible
- What is City's future role for providing water service
- Size of existing pipes
- Size necessary for new lines
- Growth potential in the area – residential and industrial
- Distance between existing lines
- Potential hook-ups
- Grant status that the City has applied for which includes a portion of this area
- Percentage of hook-ups to justify putting in a new line
- What is logical for City lines/County lines
- Service limitations on pipe size

Engineering Director Thomas pointed out the area under discussion incorporates approximately 5,000 acres with a potential of 204 new hook-ups where the lines are currently being proposed in comparison to the 5,500 acres within City limits which has 6,800 existing hook-ups.

In conclusion, everyone agreed that this area is deserving of water service. Council did feel a liaison/committee should be formed with the County to begin discussions between the two entities to determine the best direction for not only the two governmental agencies but also the area.

Mayor O'Geary asked for the consensus of Council to form a City/County committee with the response as follows: YES: Kearney, Coffey, Inscue, Rainey, Peace-Jenkins, Simmons and Daye. NO: None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: Daeke.

City Manager Griffin said this discussion has provided good direction. He will speak with County Manager Ayscue and also draft a letter for the Mayor's approval to be sent to County Commissioner Brown so discussions can begin in the near future.

Council Member Kearney said he would be happy to serve on this committee.

Mayor O'Geary then asked if Council was prepared to adjourn.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

Council Member Coffey moved for adjournment. Motion seconded by Council Member Kearney, and was unanimously approved. The meeting adjourned 7:06 p.m.

---

James D. O'Geary  
Mayor

***ATTEST:***

---

Esther J. McCrackin  
City Clerk